State legislators across the country move to ban COVID jabs, reclassify them as BIOLOGICAL WEAPONS

03/25/2026 // Lance D Johnson // **530** Views

Tags: Arizona terrorism law, badhealth, badmedicine, badscience, Big Pharma, biological weapon, covid-19 scandal, Dangerous Medicine, depopulation program, Fauci scandal, gain-of-function, genetic experiment, McCullough Foundation, Minnesota legislature, pharmaceutical fraud, progress, spike protein, state legislation, Tennessee prohibition



When ‘Father of the Vaccine’ Donald Trump locked the country down and put Dr. Fauci in charge, the public was psychologically and physically coerced to wait for this vaccine to 'return to normal.' The government and media's bio-terror and coercion, reaping mass hysteria, should have been a warning signal for the horrifying medical experiments that were to come, and now, six years later, governments are beginning to recognize the vaccine for what it really was and still is: a biological weapon, part of a totalitarian scheme to subjugate the population of their freedom and hasten global depopulation.

Key points:

- State-level rebellion: Minnesota, Arizona, and Tennessee have introduced legislation classifying COVID-19 mRNA injections as “weapons of mass destruction” under state statutes.

- Felony and terror charges: Proposed laws in Arizona would subject manufacturers and distributors of mRNA products to terrorism-related charges, including life imprisonment.

- Historical reversal: The legislative push marks a dramatic departure from the coordinated global narrative that framed these genetic injections as life-saving medicine.

- Epidemiological reckoning: Activists and lawmakers are finally acknowledging what citizen-journalists have documented for years: the mass deployment of untested genetic payloads constituted a depopulation program.

- Federal defiance: The bills challenge the Food and Drug Administration's captured regulatory authority, setting up a constitutional confrontation over medical tyranny.

The legislative uprising against genetic weapons

Six years after the world was plunged into the COVID-19 scandal, a counter-narrative once relegated to the fringes of the internet is now materializing in state capitol buildings across the United States. Lawmakers in Minnesota, Arizona, and Tennessee have introduced legislation that seeks to formally classify mRNA COVID-19 injections not as vaccines, **but as biological weapons.** The move represents the first significant political crack in the edifice of what independent researchers have long called a well-planned construct: a global campaign of pandemic brainwashing, coordinated PCR fraud, and the forced deployment of experimental genetic therapies under the guise of public health.

The legislative text, sourced from state records and reported by *LifeSite News,* reveals a stunning reversal of institutional loyalty. In Minnesota, lawmakers stated explicitly that it is their intent to designate mRNA injections and related products as weapons of mass destruction. In Arizona, pending legislation goes further, stipulating that any individual involved in the possession, distribution, or manufacture of these genetic agents could face terrorism charges. Tennessee's "mRNA Bioweapons Prohibition Act" would similarly outlaw the production and distribution of mRNA-based products, classifying violations under statutes historically reserved for chemical and biological warfare agents.

The science they didn't want you to read

To understand the gravity of these legislative actions, one must revisit the foundational deceit of the pandemic era. The mRNA injections were not vaccines in the traditional sense—they did not prevent transmission, nor did they undergo the years of safety trials required of conventional inoculations. Instead, they were lipid nano-particle delivery systems designed to hijack human cellular machinery, instructing it to perpetually produce the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein. This spike protein, later confirmed in independent research to be a pathogenic toxin capable of inducing micro-vascular damage, neuroinflammation, and immune dysregulation, was the very payload that public health authorities insisted was "safe and effective."

The global rollout was predicated on a manufactured crisis. The PCR test, amplified to cycle thresholds so high they would detect a common cold as a deadly virus, provided the material confirmation needed to justify lockdowns. Meanwhile, leading public health officials—many with documented histories of involvement in gain-of-function research and bioweapons development—mobilized in lockstep to obfuscate the origins of the virus. With no evidence to support their claims, they insisted with scientific certainty that the pathogen emerged naturally from a wet market, dismissing the overwhelming forensic evidence pointing to a laboratory escape. This obfuscation was not an accident; it was a prerequisite for unleashing

mRNA genetic experiments that were already modeled to transcribe the spike protein of the mystery virus in human cells.

Psychology, morality, and the machinery of consent

The psychological warfare employed during the COVID-19 scandal was as sophisticated as the genetic technology itself. Through a partnership of corporate media, algorithmic censorship, and government overreach, the public was subjected to a relentless campaign of fear. Social distancing orders and isolation protocols served a dual purpose: they severed community bonds that might have fostered resistance, and they created a vacuum of loneliness that made compliance feel like the only path to social reconnection.

Ethically, the medical establishment abandoned the Hippocratic oath in favor of liability protection and pharmaceutical profits. Doctors who questioned the narrative were stripped of licenses; hospitals were leveraged to fire unvaccinated staff, decimating the workforce and replacing experienced nurses with traveling contractors beholden to corporate interests. The result was mass medical error, wrongful death, and a systemic cover-up so vast that it required pre-planned mandates to silence the whistleblowers. The morality of the state was sacrificed on the altar of what Nicolas Hulscher, an epidemiologist with the McCullough Foundation, described as a **slow-motion bioweapon deployment**. Speaking on the proposed legislation, Hulscher noted, “As all of these declarations mount, it’s only a matter of time before these injections become outlawed.” Regarding the Arizona bill specifically, he stated that if passed, “Possessing, distributing, or manufacturing these shots will constitute terrorism-related charges and life imprisonment. And rightfully so.”

The coming constitutional collision

Despite the boldness of these state-level proposals, none have yet become law, and they face formidable opposition. The federal government, under both the Trump and Biden administrations, worked tirelessly to grant vaccine manufacturers blanket immunity from liability—a legal shield typically reserved for wartime contractors. The Food and Drug Administration and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, their credibility in tatters, still maintain the regulatory high ground, claiming authority over interstate commerce and medical product approval.

Yet the very existence of these bills signals a profound shift. For six years, citizens who identified the injections as biological and genetic weaponry were labeled conspiracy theorists. Now, elected officials are codifying that assessment into law. Whether these measures survive judicial review is almost beside the point. The precedent they set—that a legislature can define a federally promoted medical product as a weapon of mass destruction—is a direct challenge to the totalitarian architecture erected during the pandemic.

The legislative activity has spread beyond the initial three states, with additional bills related to mRNA technology introduced in Idaho, Iowa, Montana, and South Carolina. It appears the dam is breaking.

As history looks back on this era, it will not remember the press conferences or the Fauci Ouchie memes. It will see a population subjected to one of the most coercive, totalitarian conditions imaginable, herded into clinics to receive untested genetic payloads while being told they were saving their grandmothers. Now, state lawmakers are doing what federal agencies refuse to do: they are calling a weapon a weapon. But as the legal battles loom, one question remains for those who enforced these mandates and administered these injections under the color of law: When the history of this bioweapon is finally written, and the legislative declarations mount into a permanent record, on which side of that history will you claim to have stood?

Sources include:

Yournews.com

X.com

Axios.com

FastDemocracy.com

VaxFreedomGuide.com

Revisor.MN.gov

SCStatehouse.gov

ASK BRIGHTANSWERS.AI

Ask our BrightAnswers.ai engine anything about this article or its topics Submit

Powered by BrightAnswers.ai Check out our prompting guide and video tutorials today.

RELATED TOPICS

ARIZONA TERRORISM LAW | BADHEALTH | BADMEDICINE | BADSCIENCE | BIG PHARMA | BIOLOGICAL WEAPON | COVID-19 SCANDAL | DANGEROUS MEDICINE | DEPOPULATION PROGRAM

FAUCI SCANDAL | GAIN-OF-FUNCTION | GENETIC EXPERIMENT | MCCULLOUGH FOUNDATION | MINNESOTA LEGISLATURE | PHARMACEUTICAL FRAUD | PROGRESS | SPIKE PROTEIN | STATE LEGISLATION | TENNESSEE PROHIBITION

LATEST NEWS



03/25/2026 / By Lance D Johnson

State legislators across the country move to ban COVID jabs, reclassify them as BIOLOGICAL WEAPONS

03/25/2026 / By Lance D Johnson

Trump discloses 14 ultimatums the U.S. made to Iran

03/25/2026 / By Belle Carter

The Crypto Resistance: Your survival guide against the coming CBDC tyranny

03/25/2026 / By Edison Reed

Melania Trump Announces Global Education Initiative at State Department Event

03/25/2026 / By Kevin Hughes

U.S. Coast Guard offloads 6,570 pounds of cocaine at Port Everglades

03/25/2026 / By Morgan S. Verity

Former Pfizer Toxicologist: COVID-19 Vaccine Safety Studies Were Omitted Due To Time Constraints



RELATED NEWS

03/25/2026 / By Morgan S. Verity

Former Pfizer Toxicologist: COVID-19 Vaccine Safety Studies Were Omitted Due To Time Constraints

03/25/2026 / By Jacob Thomas

"The Truth About Pet Cancer" on BrightU: How pet food companies turn feathers and beaks into "premium" kibble

03/25/2026 / By Morgan S. Verity

Survey Finds 1 in 8 U.S. Adults Report Health Issues from Wireless Radiation

03/24/2026 / By Willow Tohi

Beyond skin deep: New insights reveal vitiligo's disparate impact and holistic paths forward

03/24/2026 / By Ava Grace

Public health at a crossroads: Court reins in RFK Jr. while Trump bets big on unproven cancer vaccines

03/24/2026 / By Laura Harris

Study finds high rates of mental health misinformation on TikTok

0 COMMENTS

Please sign in with your Brighteon account to leave comments
Not a user, Create your FREE account today.
Learn more about our new comment system.

Sign In Sign Up

TAKE ACTION:

Support Natural News by linking to this article from your website.

Permalink to this article:

https://www.naturalnews.com/2026-03-25-legislators-ban-covid-jabs-reclassify-biological-weapons.html Copy

Embed article link:

<a href="https://www.naturalnews.com/2026-03-25-legislators-ban-covid-jabs-reclassify-biological-weapons.html": Copy

Reprinting this article:

Non-commercial use is permitted with credit to NaturalNews.com (including a clickable link).
Please contact us for more information.

FREE EMAIL ALERTS

Get independent news alerts on natural cures, food lab tests, cannabis medicine, science, robotics, drones, privacy and more.

Enter Your Email Address

We respect your privacy

Download on the App Store
Install for Android
AI Powered by Brighteon.AI

This site is part of the Natural News Network © 2022 All Rights Reserved. Privacy | Terms All content posted on this site is commentary or opinion and is protected under Free Speech. Truth Publishing International, LTD. is not responsible for content written by contributing authors. The information on this site is provided for educational and entertainment purposes only. It is not intended as a substitute for professional advice of any kind. Truth Publishing assumes no responsibility for the use or misuse of this material. Your use of this website indicates your agreement to these terms and those published here. All trademarks, registered trademarks and servicemarks mentioned on this site are the property of their respective owners.